115

#### BEFORE

#### THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

#### SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 95-1052-C - ORDER NO. 96-241

APRIL 4, 1996

IN RE: Application of Computer Telephone Corporation ) ORDER for a Certificate of Public Convenience ) APPROVING and Necessity to Provide Intrastate Resold ) CERTIFICATE Telecommunications Service within the State of South Carolina.

This matter comes before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (the "Commission") by way of the Application of Computer Telephone Corporation ("CTC" or the "Company") requesting a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity authorizing it to operate as a reseller of intrastate interexchange telecommunications services in the State of South Carolina. The Company's Application was filed pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. \$58-9-280 (Supp. 1994) and the Regulations of the Public Service Commission of South Carolina.

The Commission's Executive Director instructed CTC to publish, one time, a prepared Notice of Filing in newspapers of general circulation in the affected areas. The purpose of the Notice of Filing was to inform interested parties of CTC's Application and of the manner and time in which to file the appropriate pleadings for participation in the proceeding. CTC complied with this instruction and provided the Commission with proof of publication

of the Notice of Filing.

A Petition to Intervene was filed by the Consumer Advocate of South Carolina (the "Consumer Advocate"). Subsequently, the Consumer Advocate notified the Commission that it was satisfied that the Company's filing was consistent with prior dockets, and, therefore, the Consumer Advocate would not participate in the scheduled hearing.

A hearing was commenced on March 27, 1996, at 10:30 a.m., in the Commission's Hearing Room. The Honorable Rudolph Mitchell, Chairman, presided. CTC was represented by John F. Beach, Esquire. Catherine D. Taylor, Staff Counsel, represented the Commission Staff.

David Gorgas, Product Manager of CTC, appeared and offered testimony in support of CTC's Application. Mr. Gorgas stated that CTC is a Massachusetts corporation which is registered to do business in South Carolina as a foreign corporation. According to Mr. Gorgas, CTC proposes to offer long distance services using resold transmission services of carriers certificated to carry traffic in South Carolina. Mr. Gorgas explained the Company's request for authority to provide interexchange telecommunications services in South Carolina as a reseller. He further explained the Company's services, operations and marketing procedures.

Mr. Gorgas also offered that CTC possesses the technical, financial and managerial abilities to provide its services in South Carolina. The record reveals that the Company will make certain changes to its proposed tariff to comply with Commission guidelines

DOCKET NO. 95-1052-C - ORDER NO. 96-241 APRIL 4, 1996 PAGE 3

and prior Orders.

After full consideration of the applicable law, the Company's Application, and the evidence presented at the hearing, the Commission hereby issues its findings of fact and conclusions of law:

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. CTC is incorporated under the laws of the State of Massachusetts and is licensed to do business as a foreign corporation in the State of South Carolina by the Secretary of State.
- 2. CTC operates as a non-facilities based reseller of interexchange services and wishes to provide its services in South Carolina.
- 3. CTC has the experience, capability, and financial resources to provide the services as described in its Application.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Based on the above findings of fact, the Commission determines that a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity should be granted to CTC to provide intrastate interLATA service and to originate and terminate toll traffic within the same LATA, as set forth herein, through the resale of intrastate Wide Area Telecommunications Services (WATS), Message Telecommunications Service (MTS), Foreign Exchange Service, Private Line Service, or any other services authorized for resale by tariffs of carriers approved by the Commission.
  - 2. The Commission adopts a rate design for CTC for its

resale services which includes only maximum rate levels for each tariff charge. A rate structure incorporating maximum rate levels with the flexibility for adjustment below the maximum rate levels has been previously adopted by the Commission. In Re: Application of GTE Sprint Communications Corporation, etc., Order No. 84-622, issued in Docket No. 84-10-C (August 2, 1984).

- 3. CTC shall not adjust its rates below the approved maximum level without notice to the Commission and to the public. CTC shall file its proposed rate changes, publish its notice of such changes, and file affidavits of publication with the Commission two weeks prior to the effective date of the changes. However, the public notice requirement is waived, and therefore not required, for reductions below the maximum cap in instances which do not affect the general body of subscribers or do not constitute a general rate reduction. In Re: Application of GTE Sprint Communications, etc., Order No. 93-638, issued in Docket No. 84-10-C (July 16, 1993). Any proposed increase in the maximum rate level reflected in the tariff which would be applicable to the general body of the Company's subscribers shall constitute a general ratemaking proceeding and will be treated in accordance with the notice and hearing provisions of S.C. Code Ann. §58-9-540 (Supp. 1995).
- 4. CTC shall file its revised maximum tariff and an accompanying price list within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. The revised tariff shall be consistent with the findings of this Order and shall be consistent with the Commission's Rules and

# Regulations.

- 5. CTC is subject to access charges pursuant to Commission Order No. 86-584, in which the Commission determined that for access purposes resellers should be treated similarly to facilities-based interexchange carriers.
- 6. With regard to the Company's resale of service, an end-user should be able to access another interexchange carrier or operator service provider if the end-user so desires.
- 7. CTC shall resell the services of only those interexchange carriers or LECs authorized to do business in South Carolina by this Commission. If CTC changes underlying carriers, it shall notify the Commission in writing.
- 8. With regard to the origination and termination of toll calls within the same LATA, CTC shall comply with the terms of Order No. 93-462, Order Approving Stipulation and Agreement, in Docket Nos. 92-182-C, 92-183-C, and 92-200-C (June 3, 1993).
- 9. CTC shall file surveillance reports on a calendar or fiscal year basis with the Commission as required by Order No. 88-178 in Docket No. 87-483-C. The proper form for these reports is indicated on Attachment A.
- 10. With regard to CTS's debit card, the Commission requires that the Company, as a condition of receiving a Certificate, post with the Commission a bond in the form of a Certificate of Deposit worth \$5,000 drawn in the name of the Public Service Commission of South Carolina or a surety bond in the amount of \$5,000. A Certificate of Deposit shall be drawn on federal or state chartered

banks or savings and loan associations which maintain an office in this state and whose accounts are insured by either the FDIC or the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation. A surety bond shall be issued by a duly licensed bonding or insurance company authorized to do business in South Carolina. This condition may be reviewed in one year.

11. If the Company sells its debit cards to retail establishments for resale of the debit card, and the retailer of the debit cards exceeds the suggested retail price as filed in the tariff, then CTC will withdraw its cards from that retail outlet. This Commission strongly suggests that CTC enter into written agreements with its South Carolina retail outlets regarding this policy of abiding by suggested retail pricing prior to the outlet marketing the card.

That this Order shall remain in full force and effect until further Order of the Commission.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:

Rufold Mitally

ATTEST:

Executive Director

(SEAL)

# ANNUAL INFORMATION ON SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATIONS FOR INTEREXCHANGE COMPANIES AND AOS'S

COME	PANY NAME	-
	FEI NO.	
ADDI	RESS	
CITY	Y, STATE, ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER	
(1)	SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATING REVENUES FOR THE 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR ENDING	•
(2)	SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATING EXPENSES FOR THE 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR ENDING	-
(3)	RATE BASE INVESTMENT IN SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATIONS* FOR 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR ENDING	•
*	THIS WOULD INCLUDE GROSS PLANT, ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION, MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES, CASH WORKING CAPITAL, CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS, ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAX, CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION AND CUSTOMER DEPOSITS.	
(4)	PARENT'S CAPITAL STRUCTURE* AT DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR ENDING	
*	THIS WOULD INCLUDE ALL LONG TERM DEBT (NOT THE CURRENT PORT PAYABLE), PREFERRED STOCK AND COMMON EQUITY.	ION
(5)	PARENT'S EMBEDDED COST PERCENTAGE (%) FOR LONG TERM DEBT AND EMBEDDED COST PERCENTAGE (%) FOR PREFERRED STOCK AT YEAR END DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR ENDING	
(6)	ALL DETAILS ON THE ALLOCATION METHOD USED TO DETERMINE THE AMOUNT OF EXPENSES ALLOCATED TO SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATIONS AS WELL AS METHOD OF ALLOCATION OF COMPANY'S RATE BASE INVESTME (SEE #3 ABOVE).	
SIG	NATURE	
NAM	E (PLEASE TYPE OF PRINT)	
TIT	T.E.	
	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY O	